## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America ODDED OF DETENTION DENDING TOLAL

Officed States of Afficia	ORDER OF DETENTION FEMDING TRIAL
v. Jesus Emmanuel Paez-Pulido	Casa No. 1:11 or 001/16 D.I.I
Defendant	Case No. 1:11-cr-00146-RJJ
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Re hat the defendant be detained pending trial.	form Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
Part I – Fi	indings of Fact
	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	8156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
an offense for which the maximum sentence is	death or life imprisonment.
an offense for which a maximum prison term o	of ten years or more is prescribed in:
	<u> </u>
a felony committed after the defendant had be U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	en convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 e or local offenses.
any felony that is not a crime of violence but in a minor victim	volves:
the possession or use of a firearm a failure to register under 18 U.S.0	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon C. § 2250
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed v or local offense.	while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presult person or the community. I further find that defendant	mption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another nt has not rebutted that presumption.
Alternativ	ve Findings (A)
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendan	it has committed an offense
for which a maximum prison term of ten years  Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et sunder 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption esta will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance a	ablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions and the safety of the community.
Alternativ	ve Findings (B)
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not app	
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang	
	the Reasons for Detention
I find that the testimony and information submitted at the	ne detention hearing establishes by <u>✓</u> clear and convincing
evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:	ntent detention at this time

- 1. Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contest detention at this time.
- 2. Defendant is subject to an ICE detainer and would not be released in any case.
- 3. Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the court's attention should his circumstances change.

## Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: _	May 19, 2011	Judge's Signature: _/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	